

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/851,991	HIRAGUCHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Craig A. Renner	2627	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Pending

 (1) Craig A. Renner, Primary Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Allison M. Tulino, Attorney For Applicants.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2006
Time: N/A
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None.

Claims discussed:

Includes claims 1 and 4-31.

Prior art documents discussed:

None.

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

By examiner's amendment, clarifying language was incorporated into claims 7 and 8, and non-elected claims 1, 4-6 and 9-31 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)